BARI 14-16 GIUGNO 2023 UNIVERSITÁ DEGLI STUDI ALDO MORO

Presidenza del Congresso:

F. Ceccherini Silberstein, M. Formisano, S. Lo Caputo, A. Saracino

Dettaglio abstract

N. pgm: P 4

Title: Probability of starting 2DR vs 3DR regimens in ART-naïve and ART-experienced PLWH before and after the COVID-19 pandemic

Presentation type: Poster

Session/Topic

Antiretroviral therapy Antiretroviral therapy

Authors: A. Vergori1, N. Gianotti2, A. Tavelli3, C. Tincati4, A. Giacomelli5, E. Matteini6, G. Lapadula7, F. Bai4, L. Sarmati8, A. d'Arminio Monforte3, A. Antinori1, A. Cozzi-Lepri9, on behalf of the ICONA Foundation Study Group

Affiliation: 1HIV/AIDS Unit, National Institute for Infectious Diseases L. Spallanzani, IRCCS, Rome, Italy, Roma, Italy, 2Infectious Diseases, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milano, Italy, 3Icona Foundation, Milano, Italy, 4Clinica di Malattie Infettive, Dipartimento di Scienze della Salute, ASST Santi Paolo e Carlo-Presidio Ospedaliero San Paolo, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy, 5III Infectious Disease Unit, ASST-Fatebenefratelli Sacco, Milano, Italy, 6Dipartimento di Sicurezza e Bioetica - Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Roma, Italy, 7IRCCS Fondazione San Gerardo, Monza, Università di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy, 8Clinical Infectious Diseases, Department of System Medicine, Tor Vergata University, Roma, Italy, 9Centre for Clinical Research, Epidemiology, Modelling and Evaluation (CREME), Institute for Global Health, University College London, London, UK

Abstract

Background: COVID19 pandemic temporarily disrupted and reduced HIV services. In May 2020 BHIVA issued an interim pragmatic statement to recommend the use of B/F/TAF in all circumstances, unless contraindicated. Whether lockdown and interim guidelines statements impacted ART prescriptions has not been evaluated.

Material and Methods: PLWH enrolled in the ICONA cohort (HBsAg-), ART-naïve who started their first-line ART between Jan2019-Dec2022 and ART-experienced who started new ART with a HIV RNA <50 cps/mL in the period Jan2016-Dec2022. The endpoint of the analysis was the proportion of PLWH starting/switching to a dual (2DR) or a triple (3DR) ART regimen. Participants' characteristics at time of starting/switching by calendar period were compared by chi-square and/or Kruskal-Wallis tests. A logistic regression (LR) model was used to evaluate the association between calendar period of starting/switching and type of regimen (2DR vs. 3DR) after adjusting for sex and age (line of therapy in the ART-experienced group). Moreover, we investigated whether the effect of calendar period on ART prescriptions varied by use of INSTI, sex and CD4 count at initiation/switch.

Results: Of 2,483 ART-naïve included (N=871 in 2019, 522 in 2020 and 1,090 in 2021/22) 17% were female, had a median age of 40 (IQR 32, 51) years, 66% had a CD4 count >200/mm3 and 78% a HIVRNA <100,000 cps/mL; 9% started a 2DR in 2019, 18% in 2020, 13% in 2021,10% in 2022. Using 2020 as the comparator (the lockdown year), odds ratio (OR) from fitting a LR showed a reduced probability of prescribing 2DR both before and after 2020 (Fig1A). Of 12,659 ART-experienced (N=7266 in 2016/18, 3389 in 2019/20 and 2004 in 2021/22) 20% were female, had a median age of 47 (38,55) years, 3% had CD4 <200/mm3 at switch. 24% switched to a 2DR in 2016, 10% in 2017, 13% in 2018, 25% in 2019, 37% in 2020, 61% in 2021, 64% in 2022. The estimated ORs showed an inverse trend of 2DR prescription before and after 2020, with a >3- fold higher probability to be switched to 2DR than 3DR in recent years (2021-2022) (Fig1B). Results were similar in the analysis stratified by sex and CD4 count at time of switch (interaction p=0.75). After restricting the analysis to INSTI-sparing regimens, we estimated a probability of 22.3% in 2016 followed by a drop to approximately 8-9% of switch to 2DR which remained stable over time [aOR 1.63 (1.18, 2.25) in 2016/2018 vs. 0.99 (0.60, 1.63); in 2021/22, interaction p<0.00011.

Conclusions: In our cohort of ART-naive PLWH we did not detect reduced odds of initiating 2DR vs 3DR

during 2020, which however occurred over the following years; our analysis cannot clarify whether this reflects a true pragmatic change in clinical practice or was due to difficulties in resuming full HIV services. In contrast, in ART-experienced PLWH, we observed an increasing frequency of 2DR vs 3DR regimens initiation over time, especially INSTI-based in recent years.

Figure 1 Odds ratios of starting a 2DR vs 3DR regimen from fitting a logistic regression model in **A)** ART-naïve and **B)** ART-experienced participants

